



## **Rechtsanwalt Dr. Christof W. Miséré**

Strafverteidigung – Betäubungsmittelstrafrecht - Wirtschaftsstrafrecht  
Steuerstrafrecht – Revisionsstrafrecht – Verkehrsstrafrecht - Jugendstrafrecht

Comment on Facebook

<https://www.facebook.com/christof.misere.3>

### **Inconceivable attack on the defense in the district court proceedings Göttingen ( Dr. Füllmich).**

Apparently, all dams seem to be broken with regard to the unlawful detention of the defendant Dr. Füllmich due to politically intended objectives.

In accordance with the documented objective of a politically intended conviction of the defendant, from which the final objective of the ineligibility of the defendant Dr. Füllmich should result, as stated, the Göttingen Regional Court has now attempted to "threaten" the defense of the defendant by means of a so-called procedural order or to restrict and thus prevent a continued proper defense.

Objectively and subjectively, this presents itself as a blatant attack on the defense and, correspondingly, on the defendant himself, which I have never seen before to a similar extent. The presiding judge Schindler is obviously no longer able or no longer willing to conduct the proceedings fairly and lawfully, or he no longer wants to participate in the proceedings and the politically intended verdict and hopes that he, as a person who is obviously no longer impartial, can save himself from bias and thus his exclusion from the proceedings.

I will take this and other incidents as an opportunity to contact Amnesty International etc. with regard to the detention of the accused, which is considered unlawful on this side, and the unlawful actions of the court in our opinion, so that this trial can be observed in terms of legal history.

In particular, the current legal opinion of the trial court remains completely untenable and, in our opinion, blatantly disregards dogmatic principles of current German criminal law. To put it clearly. De lege lata, with the court's new construction (if this were not new, there would have been no need for a legal reference, so that the court's protective assertions in this regard are already self-contradictory), it is rather unlikely that one would pass an exam at university.

Among other things, however, the Regional Court also asserts without any evidence that a dossier submitted by the federal German services or a federal German service does not exist.

That is completely made up out of thin air. If you knew under what special circumstances this dossier and other information was given to me, it would be immediately clear that this is of course nonsense.

However, the BKA itself did not make this inaccurate claim, although it was asked by the public prosecutor's office. That says it all for any insider!

Moreover, decisive parts of the dossier are said to originate from persons or from a person responsible who is said to be directly responsible for Mr. Templin as a V person and whose function and name is also available to the defense, so that it is assumed on this side that this person (Attorney Templin and with him the port lawyers and thus the complainants themselves) is directly involved in the content of the dossier through an exchange of information or other communication, especially since Attorney Templin was politically connected with this person in several associations and also worked for them as a lawyer until the recent past. This V person works for the BKA and another service, but according to my information is himself being monitored by another of the services.

There are also differing opinions within the service on how to deal with the "coronavirus injustice".

Incidentally, this also corresponds to the fact that the court now refuses to hear the witness lawyer Templin, who was originally intended as a witness as a matter of course. You already know what you have to do or what is expected of you.

Incidentally, the dossier has been passed on to the undersigned by circles within the "services" or the judiciary in the broader sense, who are particularly committed to the rule of law, with the clear indication that this is a procedure that is politically intended.

I have now been able to form my own impression of the latter assessment: this is obviously the case without any reasonable doubt.

So you can see that there is also resistance to this procedure from internal circles and that is a good thing.

As it is well known that right does not have to give way to wrong, I will not allow myself to be influenced in any way by this threat from the court. Nor will we allow any Chairman Schindler to "play dirty" with our esteemed client.

He can "take poison" for that, although I don't want this to be misunderstood as incitement to suicide.

And my opinion that the action in Mexico, which only took place under fraudulent deception of the accused and this in collusion with the public prosecutor's office, precisely the already mentioned politically active BKA and the so-called port lawyers, is a kidnapping in the broader sense (the GDR also worked with this means, of course also formally secured, whereby around 700 kidnappings became known).

We continue to fight for the right even on a public holiday like today.

Because the first holiday is when the politically imprisoned lawyer Dr. Füllmich is free again.

Dr. Miséré